


# 9 responses

[View all responses](#)   [Publish analytics](#)

---

## Summary

**How did the course meet your learning objectives?**




Not met: 1	<b>0</b>	0%
2	<b>0</b>	0%
3	<b>0</b>	0%
4	<b>2</b>	22.2%
Fully met: 5	<b>7</b>	77.8%

## Comments and suggestions

The instructor was thorough and complete in his explanations.  
Material was excellent, and labs built on each other very well.

**How was the duration of the course?**




Too short.: 1	<b>0</b>	0%
2	<b>1</b>	11.1%
3	<b>8</b>	88.9%

4 0 0%  
Definitely too long. : 5 0 0%

### Comments and suggestions

Just right. We had time so explore the labs and apply our new knowledge to our own needs.  
Course was just right. We had fairly powerful machines which allowed us to finish the labs a little quicker. This in turn meant more time for asking questions specific to our workflow.

### How useful was the lecture document?




Not useful.: 1 0 0%  
2 0 0%  
3 1 11.1%  
4 1 11.1%  
Very useful: 5 7 77.8%

### Comments and suggestions

It would be useful to have the actual variable names that need to be used as part of the lecture notes. For example: rather than only saying image features it would say image features (IMAGE\_FEATURES = "package-management"). This is done in many places.  
Having the lecture document always helps me keep me focused on what the instructor is saying. I can then make notes on the slides. I really appreciated having the slides in paper form.

Having the slides and lab material available both in print and electronically was very helpful during the lecture and the lab time.

### How knowledgeable was the instructor?




### Comments and suggestions

Very knowledgeable. He was able to identify what we are doing, and relay relevant yocto topics to our needs

Alex was able to answer all our questions, especially the ones outside the scope of the training.

### How much value did the instructor add to lecture materials?




Not much added value:	1	<b>0</b>	0%
	2	<b>0</b>	0%
	3	<b>1</b>	11.1%
	4	<b>2</b>	22.2%
A lot of added value:	5	<b>6</b>	66.7%

### Suggestions and comments

He was awesome. Added so much context, that I wish I had recorded the session to capture the added value.

Really appreciated Alex's insight. He was helpful in helping us understand how we can apply the Yocto framework to our environment.

### Was the instructor helpful with practical labs?



2 0 0%

3 2 22.2%

4 0 0%

Very helpful: 5 7 77.8%


### Comments and suggestions

It would help to check lab progress after each lab. It seemed some people ran into issues early on, but didn't realize it until hours later. One didn't really know if you were doing things "properly" even though the build may work.

He was able to ascertain issues quickly and guide us in the right direction without giving the answer.

The best thing Alex did was actually to \*not\* give us much help during the lab time. He let us struggle, research using the material provided and online resources, and help each other. Only after most of us were finished did he offer the complete solutions. Forcing us to work through the problems helped us learn much better.

### How useful were the training labs?



Not useful: 1 0 0%

2 0 0%

3 0 0%

4 2 22.2%

Very useful: 5 7 77.8%


### Comments and suggestions

Need to have an advanced section so that if you finish the main lab you can go on to the advanced section (so called extra credit)

Really helped me cement the materials.

Both instructive and enjoyable.

### How difficult were the training labs?




Too easy: 1	<b>0</b>	0%
2	<b>0</b>	0%
3	<b>8</b>	88.9%
4	<b>1</b>	11.1%
Too difficult: 5	<b>0</b>	0%

### Comments and suggestions

Just right, not too hard, but not too simple.

The labs were relevant to learning the material, and gave enough help and hints along the way without being a simple exercise of typing commands given to us.

### Was enough time dedicated to practical labs?




Definitely not enough: 1	<b>0</b>	0%
2	<b>0</b>	0%
3	<b>4</b>	44.4%
4	<b>4</b>	44.4%
Definitely too much time for labs: 5	<b>1</b>	11.1%

## Comments and suggestions

I thought some time could have been saved building the SDK (lab 8). Assuming things were done properly, it was basically a matter of running one command and then waiting for 30 minutes. You could have people run this, then explain the next slide section while it builds  
Just right.

Our machines were very fast, so the compiling steps took less time than usual. That said, some groups were faster than others, so there was plenty of time to finish the lab and explore a little before moving on.

## How well was the course organized (program, registration, schedule...)?



Not well: 1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4	2	22.2%
Very well: 5	7	77.8%


## Comments and suggestions

It would be helpful to have more examples during the lecture portion. Have the instructor go through a live example to illustrate the point prior to doing the labs.

Took us through the material in a logical progression while building upon previous knowledge.

No problems here.

## How much did you learn?




### Comments and suggestions

Loved the course

We have begun using Yocto a little, but this training was exactly what we needed to really make Yocto work for us.

### How useful should this course be in your daily job?



Not useful: 1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4	5	55.6%
Very useful.: 5	4	44.4%

### Comments and suggestions

I just hope I get the chance to apply it before I forget it.

We will be using Yocto to build toolchains for our various products, and ultimately to build the applications as well.

### What part(s) of the course did you like most?

The labs were great. Parts were difficult with "tricky" bits. Our instructor gave us a solution set when asked.

Hands on labs are good where you can actually see the outcome of your build (the game with the joystick was good for this).

Labs were fun.


All of it.

## What part(s) of the course did you like least?

Some of the labs had "tricky" bits.

None.

## Overall rating



Very disappointing: 1	<b>0</b>	0%
2	<b>0</b>	0%
3	<b>0</b>	0%
4	<b>0</b>	0%
5	<b>1</b>	11.1%
6	<b>2</b>	22.2%
Excellent: 7	<b>6</b>	66.7%


## Comments and suggestions

Well done. Loved the training. The extra help with pine64 was great.

One of the more difficult parts seemed to be how to separate what goes in an image recipe vs what goes in a distro.

I though the course was well done.

## Further training needs?



No: 1	<b>0</b>	0%
2	<b>1</b>	11.1%
3	<b>4</b>	44.4%




4 1 11.1%  
 Yes, definitely: 5 3 33.3%

## Comments


Android

## What reasons prompted you to choose Free Electrons?




Geographical proximity (public sessions only)	0	0%
Availability for on-site sessions	4	44.4%
Trainer	1	11.1%
Open training materials that can be checked in advance	3	33.3%
Language	0	0%
Hardware giveaway (public sessions only)	0	0%
Access to full feedback from participants to previous sessions	0	0%
Cost	0	0%
Not applicable - My management made the decision	6	66.7%
Other	0	0%

## How did you first learn about Free Electrons?



Course recommended by previous participants	0	0%
Internet search engines	0	0%
Technical resources on the Free Electrons website	1	11.1%
Presentations in conferences	0	0%
Free Electrons chosen by my management	7	77.8%
Other	1	11.1%

### Interested in other types of embedded Linux engineering services?



Linux board support package development	0	0%
Boot time reduction	0	0%
Power management	0	0%
Development of real-time systems	0	0%
Build environment support	1	11.1%
Root filesystem development	0	0%
Technical support	0	0%
Bug fixing	0	0%
Technology and architecture consulting	0	0%
On-site engineering	0	0%
Not interested	6	66.7%
Other	2	22.2%

### Comments and expectations

No responses yet for this question.

### Number of daily responses

