


# 6 responses

[View all responses](#)   [Publish analytics](#)

---

## Summary

**How did the course meet your learning objectives?**




Not met: 1	<b>0</b>	0%
2	<b>0</b>	0%
3	<b>0</b>	0%
4	<b>2</b>	33.3%
Fully met: 5	<b>4</b>	66.7%

## Comments and suggestions

No responses yet for this question.

**How was the duration of the course?**




Too short.: 1	<b>0</b>	0%
2	<b>0</b>	0%
3	<b>6</b>	100%
4	<b>0</b>	0%

Definitely too long. : 5 0 0%

### Comments and suggestions

Lots of stuff which I already knew from my earlier working experiences with Linux devices, but I also got plenty of new stuff and a bloat of small details regarding to previously known stuff. The time reserved for the lab works was enough, with a little bit spare for user errors.

### How useful were the training materials?




Not useful: 1 0 0%  
2 0 0%  
3 0 0%  
4 3 50%  
Very useful: 5 3 50%

### Comments and suggestions

Very detailed text for both lectures and lab works.

### How important are printed materials?



Not important: 1 1 16.7%  
2 3 50%  
3 0 0%  
4 2 33.3%

Very important: 5 0 0%


## Please tell us why you need or don't need paper printed materials

I preferred PDF materials.

For me it's more comfortable to read from a paper. It's also more convenient to have a paper next to your laptop instead of jumping between different programs (course materials, lab instructions, text editors, terminals, web browser(s) etc.) in a computer screen. I think the Lab Book should be in paper version but the Training material doesn't have to. I didn't use the paper version of the Training material at all.

They good for checking/confirming exact details, but most of the time I was reading the pdf file on my laptop. Maybe in future you could send the link for the material beforehand, and instruct the user to print the material for himself if he is not accustomed to reading from laptop screen.

## How knowledgeable was the instructor?




Not enough for me:	1	0	0%
	2	0	0%
	3	0	0%
	4	1	16.7%
More than enough:	5	5	83.3%

## Comments and suggestions

Amazing amount of knowledge and details, just referencing everything out from his memory.

## How much value did the instructor add to lecture materials?




### Suggestions and comments

Great job!

Material is just a text you can read it. But when it is explained by speech/talk, only then you really understand the details and the background why is something implemented just the way it is implemented.

### Was the instructor helpful with practical labs?




Not much: 1	<b>0</b>	0%
2	<b>0</b>	0%
3	<b>0</b>	0%
4	<b>0</b>	0%
Very helpful: 5	<b>6</b>	100%

### Comments and suggestions

I got really good answers and helpful instructions to every question I asked.


### How useful were the training labs?



### Comments and suggestions

Practice is where you can actually learn and apply the knowledge from theory. Theory is basically remembering stuff but in the labs I really learned the "how to". Both are important but I think there is no one without another.

### How difficult were the training labs?




Too easy: 1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	5	83.3%
4	1	16.7%
Too difficult: 5	0	0%

### Comments and suggestions

Difficulty was quite OK. With my hobbyist background I had enough experience to "breeze" through the training labs but there were some moments when I really had to stop and think. I learned a lot of new things.

Some were easy, some harder. The real problems aroused usually from user error, and those were sometime difficult to find and solve.

### Was enough time dedicated to practical labs?



3 6 100%


4 0 0%

Definitely too much time for labs: 5 0 0%

### Comments and suggestions

Easier work was done in shorter time and I had room for a little brake. Harder ones took too much time and I had to solve those with my colleagues or continue the same work next morning.

### How well was the course organized (program, registration, schedule...)?



Not well: 1 0 0%

2 0 0%

3 0 0%


4 2 33.3%

Very well: 5 4 66.7%

### Comments and suggestions

No responses yet for this question.

### How much did you learn?




4    **1**    16.7%  
 A lot: 5    **5**    83.3%

### Comments and suggestions

I'm a Linux hobbyist. I already knew a lot of things from here and there so one could say I already had some experience with Linux. The course kind of set my knowledge in the right order and filled in the missing knowledge gaps.

Plenty of small details and background information regarding to different aspects.

### How useful should this course be in your daily job?



Not useful: 1    **0**    0%  
                   2    **0**    0%  
                   3    **0**    0%  
                   4    **2**    33.3%  
 Very useful.: 5    **4**    66.7%

### Comments and suggestions

I have been doing application development and Linux installations to embedded devices and laptops for years. I have plenty of background information from multiple topic, but got a lot of information about what to, how to do it, what not to do and why. Lab works gave a lot good ideas to problem solving at the topics at work life

### What part(s) of the course did you like most?

The training labs. Applying theory into practice.


Lab works where interesting, and a good point for group work and discussion.  
debugging tools

### What part(s) of the course did you like least?

Licenses.. Boring but very important.

Some parts of the lectures where quite long, hard to keep yourself alert and focused on topic, especially if you had little bit too less sleep during the last night.

### Overall rating




Very disappointing: 1	<b>0</b>	0%
2	<b>0</b>	0%
3	<b>0</b>	0%
4	<b>0</b>	0%
5	<b>0</b>	0%
6	<b>3</b>	50%
Excellent: 7	<b>3</b>	50%

### Comments and suggestions

Great instructor! Keep up the good work.

### Further training needs?



No: 1 **0** 0%




2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4	2	33.3%
Yes, definitely: 5	4	66.7%

## Comments


Depending on the topic and content of the course, I will be interested.

## What reasons prompted you to choose Bootlin?




Geographical proximity (public sessions only)	0	0%
Availability for on-site sessions	1	16.7%
Trainer	0	0%
Open training materials that can be checked in advance	1	16.7%
Language	1	16.7%
Hardware giveaway (public sessions only)	0	0%
Access to full feedback from participants to previous sessions	0	0%
Cost	0	0%
Not applicable - My management made the decision	5	83.3%
Other	0	0%

## How did you first learn about Bootlin?



Course recommended by previous participants	0	0%
Internet search engines	1	16.7%
Technical resources on the Bootlin website	0	0%
Presentations in conferences	0	0%
Bootlin chosen by my management	5	83.3%
Other	0	0%

### Interested in other types of embedded Linux engineering services?



Linux board support package development	2	33.3%
Boot time reduction	2	33.3%
Power management	2	33.3%
Development of real-time systems	1	16.7%
Build environment support	0	0%
Root filesystem development	0	0%
Technical support	1	16.7%
Bug fixing	1	16.7%
Technology and architecture consulting	1	16.7%
On-site engineering	0	0%
Not interested	2	33.3%
Other	0	0%

### Comments and expectations

No responses yet for this question.

# Number of daily responses

