# Bootlin training course evaluation

11 responses

---

## How did the course meet your learning objectives?

11 responses



---

## Comments and suggestions

1 response

Xenomai and ADEOS specifically will become very relevant to us in the near future. I would like to see a RT-specific training.

---

## How was the duration of the course?

11 responses



---

## Comments and suggestions

1 response

The time for the course is ok, but I think only 4 hours each makes the course too long. I prefer 40 hours in one week (8h/day).

## How useful were the training materials?

11 responses

| Rating | Count |
|--------|-------|
| 1 | 0 (0%) |
| 2 | 1 (9.1%) |
| 3 | 0 (0%) |
| 4 | 4 (36.4%) |
| 5 | 6 (54.5%) |

## Comments and suggestions

2 responses

maybe the qemu materials need a revision. The Materials with the Hardware is more completly

With qemu is ok, but somethings are not covered. It's better with real hardware.

## How knowledgeable was the instructor?

11 responses

| Rating | Count |
|--------|-------|
| 1 | 0 (0%) |
| 2 | 0 (0%) |
| 3 | 0 (0%) |
| 4 | 1 (9.1%) |
| 5 | 10 (90.9%) |

## Comments and suggestions

1 response

His knowledge is more than sufficient to question some other advanced questions out of the scope of the material.

## How much value did the instructor add to lecture materials?

11 responses

| Rating | Count |
|--------|-------|
| 1 | 0 (0%) |
| 2 | 0 (0%) |
| 3 | 0 (0%) |
| 4 | 1 (9.1%) |
| 5 | 10 (90.9%) |

## Suggestions and comments

1 response

He is always trying to add the more rencent you can find in the literature.

## How useful were the practical demos?

11 responses

| Rating | Count |
|--------|-------|
| 1 | 0 (0%) |
| 2 | 0 (0%) |
| 3 | 2 (18.2%) |
| 4 | 5 (45.5%) |
| 5 | 4 (36.4%) |

## Comments and suggestions

2 responses

In the online format, practical demos are impossible to do at the same time the instructor is performing them. Moreover, you lose focus on what he is really doing because you are trying to do your own lab and deal with the problems that come up.

We could not use real HW due to the COVID situation, but the practical demos are well explained to also use other emulated environments.

## Was enough time dedicated to practical demos?

11 responses

| Value | Count | Percentage |
|-------|-------|-----------|
| 1 | 1 | 9.1% |
| 2 | 5 | 45.5% |
| 3 | 4 | 36.4% |
| 4 | 1 | 9.1% |
| 5 | 0 | 0% |

## Comments and suggestions

3 responses

Having hours at the afternoon to complete the practical demos is not the best option because usually you have to "recover" the 4 hours of work from the morning. Therefore, it implies that after your 8, 9 or 10 hours of "usual" work, you have to continue working with the labs, with your mind out of service.

Super well-balanced.

I understand the situation of the course, but it would be more usefull if we did it by our own in a real hardware, because the problems arrive in this way not when the teacher is doing it.

## How well was the course organized (program, registration, schedule...)?

11 responses

| Value | Count | Percentage |
|-------|-------|-----------|
| 1 | 0 | 0% |
| 2 | 0 | 0% |
| 3 | 0 | 0% |
| 4 | 5 | 45.5% |
| 5 | 6 | 54.5% |

## Comments and suggestions

0 responses

No responses yet for this question.

## How much did you learn?

11 responses

| Rating | Count |
|--------|-------|
| 1 | 1 (9.1%) |
| 2 | 0 (0%) |
| 3 | 2 (18.2%) |
| 4 | 7 (63.6%) |
| 5 | 1 (9.1%) |

## Comments and suggestions

2 responses

Even though there are plenty of basic topics, you never know how much one can learn when the explication comes from an expert.

Sadly, I'm not a target for these trainings, but HR insists I attend. For the intended audience I think the training was on-spot.

## How useful should this course be in your daily job?

11 responses

| Rating | Count |
|--------|-------|
| 1 | 1 (9.1%) |
| 2 | 4 (36.4%) |
| 3 | 3 (27.3%) |
| 4 | 2 (18.2%) |
| 5 | 1 (9.1%) |

## Comments and suggestions

2 responses

At the moment I'm not doing nothing related but I hope in the future I will come come again to these topics.

I wish my colleagues paid more attention to the ways of open-source.

## What part(s) of the course did you like most?

7 responses

Realtime section

Cross-compiling toolchains, bootloader and real-time

Everything

The latest part of the Real-Time Kernel scheduler.

I liked all the parts because some are a kind of reminder and others like Real Time behaviour and the diferents file systems are very interesting.

I'm biased towards the RT stuff.

bootloader and kernel

## What part(s) of the course did you like least?

7 responses

GPL / licensing part

Flash storage

Nothing

The Buildroot part.

licensing

I consider it quite balanced. Perhaps the toolchain needs more explanation, but it's too big a topic for this training.

licenses

## Overall rating

11 responses

## Comments and suggestions

1 response

The quality of the streaming was not the best. The first problem is the aspect ratio coming from the record of the screen + face cam was not the "standard one" (or at least was not working on all the client screens) and the borders of your screen were hidden. Secondly, the resolution was too poor, and sometimes it was hard to follow the command line and so on. I suggest only share the screen "natively" without using any streaming editor in the middle (or try to improve the streaming mechanism if you want to show face cam + slides.

## Further training needs?

11 responses



## Comments

5 responses

Qt

Linux graphics stack

Linux Kernel Development

the diferent frameworks in the kernel (v4l2, usb, .......)

I think there's many areas where we could use custom trainings in our company.

## What reasons prompted you to choose Bootlin?

11 responses

## How did you first learn about Bootlin?

11 responses



- Course recommended by previous participants
- Internet search engines
- Technical resources on the Bootlin website
- Presentations in conferences
- Bootlin chosen by my manag…
- A colleague talked to me abo…
- Elixir
- campany colleagues

36.4%
9.1%
9.1%
9.1%
18.2%
18.2%

## Interested in other types of embedded Linux engineering services?

11 responses



Linux board support package development — 2 (18.2%), 4 (36.4%)
Power management — 2 (18.2%), 4 (36.4%)
Build environment support — 1 (9.1%), 3 (27.3%)
Technical support — 1 (9.1%)
Bug fixing — 2 (18.2%), 2 (18.2%)
On-site engineering — 1 (9.1%)
Not interested — 4 (36.4%)
in case — 1 (9.1%)
N/A — 1 (9.1%)

## Comments and expectations

0 responses

No responses yet for this question.

Google Forms