Bootlin training course evaluation

6 responses

[Publish analytics](#)

## How did the course meet your learning objectives?

6 responses

Comments and suggestions

1 response

I've learned a lot in this course even that I already had a OS course with Linux driver development in my computer science study.

How was the duration of the course?

6 responses

Comments and suggestions

1 response

5 days with lot of information and practical lab. For a first introduction to linux driver development it had the right length.

How useful were the training materials?

6 responses

Comments and suggestions

3 responses

little more details would help. the slides sometimes too short

Add a hint about finding kernel APIs from the source tree by running git grep on include/ and arch/arm/ . A couple of times I thought it might be useful if the materials said "don't continue unless you see XYZ result" (can't think of any specifics anymore though).

IMHO the material, slides and lab material, has the right amount of information, in combination with the online elixir tool.

How knowledgeable was the instructor?

6 responses

Comments and suggestions

1 response

It was nice to have a Real Programmer[tm] do the training :-)

How much value did the instructor add to lecture materials?

6 responses

3

3 (50%)

2

2 (33.3%)

Suggestions and comments

1 response

It was really good that the instructor had a look on the code after some time of own practice and also explained additional background and things that are good to know.

Was the instructor helpful with practical labs?

6 responses

6

6 (100%)

4

2

0 (0%)   0 (0%)   0 (0%)   0 (0%)

0

1   2   3   4   5

Comments and suggestions

0 responses

No responses yet for this question.

How useful were the training labs?

6 responses

4

4 (66.7%)

3

2

2 (33.3%)

1

0 (0%)   0 (0%)   0 (0%)

0

1   2   3   4   5

Comments and suggestions

0 responses

No responses yet for this question.

How difficult were the training labs?

6 responses

Bar chart showing responses on a scale from 1 to 5:
- 1: 0 (0%)
- 2: 2 (33.3%)
- 3: 2 (33.3%)
- 4: 2 (33.3%)
- 5: 0 (0%)

Comments and suggestions

3 responses

the labs is always based on the old lab. please start the old lab with new code

Finding the problem in debugging/drvbroken.c was way too easy.

IMHO, in combination with the material it had the right difficulty. As I had already knownledge about microcontroller design, its functions and some experience with driver development in the past during my study time, it was not so difficult to understand all the topics and do the training labs. It helps to have an understanding of how the hardware works and experience with firmware development.

Was enough time dedicated to practical labs?

6 responses

Bar chart showing responses on a scale from 1 to 5:
- 1: 0 (0%)
- 2: 0 (0%)
- 3: 5 (83.3%)
- 4: 1 (16.7%)
- 5: 0 (0%)

Comments and suggestions

1 response

In general, the time for the practical labs was right chosen. For me, due to my existing knowledge, I could have done more topics and practical labs in this time. To have optional practical addons was good, but could have been a bit more.

How well was the course organized (program, registration, schedule...)?

6 responses

0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (33.3%)	4 (66.7%)
1	2	3	4	5

Comments and suggestions

0 responses

No responses yet for this question.

How much did you learn?

6 responses

|				
0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (33.3%)	4 (66.7%)
1	2	3	4	5

Comments and suggestions

0 responses

No responses yet for this question.

How useful should this course be in your daily job?

6 responses

0 (0%)	1 (16.7%)	1 (16.7%)	1 (16.7%)	3 (50%)
1	2	3	4	5

Comments and suggestions

1 response

Not sure yet; I'm not doing any kernel programming in my day job currently.

What part(s) of the course did you like most?

2 responses

the hardware issue are very good

I liked the labs in the final two days -- the earlier exercises were too easy/boring for me.

What part(s) of the course did you like least?

0 responses

No responses yet for this question.

Overall rating

6 responses

Comments and suggestions

0 responses

No responses yet for this question.

Further training needs?

6 responses

## Comments

0 responses

No responses yet for this question.

## What reasons prompted you to choose Bootlin?

6 responses

Geographical proximity (public sessions… — 0 (0%)
— 1 (16.7%)
Trainer — 2 (33.3%)
3 (50%)
Language — 1 (16.7%)
— 0 (0%)
Access to full feedback from participan… — 1 (16.7%)
— 0 (0%)
Not applicable - My management made the… — 2 (33.3%)
— 1 (16.7%)

How did you first learn about Bootlin?

6 responses

- Course recommended by previous participants
- Internet search engines
- Technical resources on the Bootlin website
- Presentations in conferences
- Bootlin chosen by my management

16.7%
16.7%
66.7%

Interested in other types of embedded Linux engineering services?

6 responses

Linux board support package development — 5 (83.3%)
— 1 (16.7%)
Power management — 2 (33.3%)
— 2 (33.3%)
Build environment support — 2 (33.3%)
— 1 (16.7%)
Technical support — 2 (33.3%)
Bug fixing — 2 (33.3%)
— 1 (16.7%)
On-site engineering — 0 (0%)
Not interested — 1 (16.7%)

Comments and expectations

0 responses

No responses yet for this question.

Google Forms