# Bootlin training course evaluation

9 responses

[Publish analytics](#)

Overall rating of the course

9 responses



Comments and suggestions

2 responses

Make reminder of Linux environment and standards commands at the beginning of the course in order to teach the base of the Linux administration, necessary for the rest of the course. Eventually transmit training documents for this, before the course.

It would be nice to explain a little bit where the commands used for u-Boot/kernel /toolchain can be found instead of just explaining them. This would enable students to know where to look for this command in a real-life environment.

How useful were the lectures?

9 responses

Comments and suggestions

0 responses

No responses yet for this question.

How useful were the practical labs?

9 responses

Comments and suggestions

2 responses

Maybe add some precision on the actions to be done in order to make sure the pupil has understood what he is doing.

On the same way than for general course, it will be nice to try to understand the different available commands there is and why these are used. The practical labs have been prepared previously by an instructor that knows exactly the problems there are and how to have a base platforms of labs "ready to use". It will be interesting to know some of this problems and to know how to resolve them.

How would you rate the overall organization of the course?

9 responses

## Comments and suggestions

1 response

Very good. Instructor noted changes to be made on slides/practical labs, so they are up to date to current problems.

How would you rate the trainer?

9 responses

Bar chart (scale 1–10):
- 1: 0 (0%)
- 2: 0 (0%)
- 3: 0 (0%)
- 4: 0 (0%)
- 5: 0 (0%)
- 6: 0 (0%)
- 7: 0 (0%)
- 8: 0 (0%)
- 9: 3 (33.3%)
- 10: 6 (66.7%)

Comments and suggestions

1 response

Thanks for everything!!

How did the course meet your learning objectives?

9 responses

Bar chart (scale 1–10):
- 1: 0 (0%)
- 2: 0 (0%)
- 3: 0 (0%)
- 4: 0 (0%)
- 5: 1 (11.1%)
- 6: 0 (0%)
- 7: 0 (0%)
- 8: 2 (22.2%)
- 9: 2 (22.2%)
- 10: 4 (44.4%)

Comments and suggestions

1 response

A bit too "operational" for me, as I come from HW engineering world. But it gave me a great comprehension of the Embedded Linux science.

What part(s) of the course did you like most?

8 responses

Cross compilation, kernel and PREEMPT_RT

u-boot, buildroot, preempt-rt

the embedded linux application developpement

practice

Buildroot slides and lab

RealTime

Real Time Embedded Linux, because useful for my job.

The duality between theory lessons and practical labs

What part(s) of the course did you like least?

4 responses

Xenomai

mtd & ubi

Memories management because a bit complex for me

As explained before, the lack of details in the commands used, and lack of detail for the different configurations used for the products.

What reasons prompted you to choose a Bootlin course?

9 responses

Category	Value
Course contents	1 (11.1%)
Availability of training ma…	0 (0%)
Pricing	0 (0%)
Management decision	6 (66.7%)
Trainer	0 (0%)
Recommended by a pair	1 (11.1%)
choix du manager	1 (11.1%)

Comments

3 responses

very large materials to learn about generic linux and embedded linux. condensive course but high quality

Suggestion: pour 50$, que chaque trainee reparte avec sa beeglebone / ajouter un projet "fil rouge"

Very good teaching, I recommend.
But needs to have a minimum level of Linux knowledges to be followed properly => to be precised in the course description before the inscription.

I would have liked to know more about the processor architectures that exist and their design, specificity, etc.

Further training needs?

5 responses

YOCTO

Comparing Buildroot and Yocto usage. Adding our own application to each one.

It would be interesting to extend to embedded firmwares in IOT context. (contikiOS, freeRTOS)

Yocto

Processor architectures and low-level associated SW

Google Forms