

# Bootlin training course evaluation


7 responses

[Publish analytics](#)

## Overall rating of the course

 Copy

7 responses



## Comments and suggestions

5 responses

Overall very useful course. I know this course is leaning towards more embedded engineers, but would like an alternative or notes intended for kernels in cloud infra / qemu. Additionally, it didn't seem like the labs actually required to have physical hardware, can they be adopted to qemu so that they are more portable/accessible?

There was also a wide breadth of tools covered which is appreciated. What I would like to hear more about is what is state of the art, i.e. production/industry usage, so that it is applicable to a modern stack.

Very useful course!

good pace, good degree of detail


The content was interesting and the presenter was pleasant and very skilled in the topic and had great general Linux knowledge.

For the ones that didn't have an ARM target, it could be possible to do it with an x86-based target.

## How useful were the lectures?

 Copy

7 responses



### Comments and suggestions

2 responses


N/A

See above

### How useful were the practical demos?

 Copy

7 responses



### Comments and suggestions

4 responses

N/A

copying multi-line console commands was difficult with my pdf reader. Apart of that very helpful instructions


In some cases, the labs led by the instructor went well beyond the lab instructions in the PDF. This was great as "bonus" training, but without the instructions in the reference material, I fear I will probably forget the "bonus" stuff the instructor shared with us.

Good demos

### How would you rate the overall organization of the course?

 Copy

7 responses




**Comments and suggestions**  
 3 responses

N/A

Lectures introduced the material covered in the labs. Made sense to me.

It was well organized but the jitsi platform consumed a lot of my resources so hope next time a zoom or another platform be used.




**Comments and suggestions**  
 3 responses

It was great when Alexis came back with answers to tricky trainee questions each session.

Alexis was great. Answered every question, was super attentive and respectful. Zero complaints here.

Not only knowledgeable but empathetic and a good listener.



### Comments and suggestions

3 responses

N/A

Just the stuff I mentioned above about forgetting some bonus materials the trainer shared with us in live labs.

I learned a lot but didn't have the appropriate hardware to reproduce the lab so please consider an option for other participants. In overall, it was a good training.

### What part(s) of the course did you like most?

5 responses

The debugging labs were very enlightening.

Practical labs were good to see everything in action.

Live and self-completed labs.

Kernel debugging, perf, tracing and profiling.

I liked them all, but since we don't do so much kernel development at work, I was more interested in the userspace debugging parts

### What part(s) of the course did you like least?

3 responses


N/A

Preparing the tools

### What reasons prompted you to choose a Bootlin course?



7 responses



### Comments

2 responses

N/A

I would likely choose Bootlin if I seek out more Linux training. For sure I would, at the very least, start the search with Bootlin's training offerings, then perhaps practical considerations like scheduling and course availability/urgency/need might force me to consider other Linux training offerings.

### Further training needs?

5 responses

eBPF training

gstreamer/V4L2

gtk

I might be interested in some Yocto Project training in the future related to building embedded Linux distributions and production images w/ Yocto Project.

Comme discuté avec Alexis, peut-être Rust (appliqué à l'embarqué)

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google. [Report Abuse](#) - [Terms of Service](#) - [Privacy Policy](#)

Google Forms

