

Bootlin training course evaluation


13 responses

[Publish analytics](#)

Overall rating of the course

 Copy

13 responses



Comments and suggestions

3 responses

trainer talk too fast


I was expecting more hands-on training, the lectures were good but I would have liked more time on the labs.

During the third day, I was overwhelmed with different tools and options within this tools. For me it would be better to focus on one tool perf or ftrace and just mention the others.

How useful were the lectures?

 Copy

13 responses



Comments and suggestions

3 responses

a little bit difficult for Beginners

Lectures had lots of good content, but a bit too much for the time that we had.


At one moment the check-list from Brendan Gregg was mentioned. It would be great if one exercise would be to prepare a checklist for our system.

How to do it? Which question to ask when preparing the check list? Which aspects to take in mind. It can be a on the white board without any practical part, but simply a discussion.

How useful were the practical demos?



13 responses



Comments and suggestions

2 responses


Give an option to participants to do some practical exercises before the training.
For example: "If you want to prepare for the training better" go read this and do that.

To short time to perform exercise

How would you rate the overall organization of the course?



13 responses



Comments and suggestions

4 responses

All was great

Unfortunately, at the end we had to rush with the lab work; but I guess it was because we went into many topics rather deeply which costs time. I assume it can hardly be avoided.

Sometimes the amount of slides/time may have been a bit high for participants with less prior knowledge. On the other hand, the training material is great, so there was the opportunity to check again offline.


In general, the learning effect is best, if s.o. does the practical exercises directly, so learning might be improved by one board/participant.

i would have preferred to spend less time on the basic stuff from the beginning of the course, since the topic of the course already suggests we are already proficient in the topic and, as a consequence, have more time for the more specific stuff coming at the end of the course

How would you rate the trainer?



13 responses



Comments and suggestions

4 responses

A big thank you for following up on the very few question that could not be answered immediately on the next day. Your technical expertise is outstanding, and also your communication skills are excellent! This combination is rare.

Keep doing what you are doing

Very motivated and professional. Was following up on questions the same day and provided answers the next. The trainers practical experience was valuable for the training.


very good follow up of questions that remained open during the day, providing detailed answers on the following day(s)



How did the course meet your learning objectives?



13 responses



Comments and suggestions

0 responses

No responses yet for this question.

What part(s) of the course did you like most?

7 responses

Hard to tell, because all parts are relevant and I liked them all.

I liked the live demonstration of the lab session parts. This allowed me to double check if I got things right and if not learn how to do it correctly.

The last day was for me most relevant. But I liked all 3 days

It was a good overview of the quite big content and it was a lot of info.

Practical labs and theory on how linux/kernel/... works

My favorite part was the lab work on the kernel; well lab work in general.

The biggest added value is the competence of the trainer and how he could look up in the kernel code or tap other colleagues' expertise to answer questions.

python scrip for gdb


What part(s) of the course did you like least?

2 responses

number of tools was a bit high towards the end

... saying "bye" at the end of the day ...





Comments

3 responses

AI in context of what the subject of the training was not mentioned at all. It is just not possible that all aspects of our industry are being affected and that system analysis will not be. Consider researching this part and mentioning it in the training.

What would also have been helpful would be e.g. a highlevel overview of the tools which were shown and in which areas they are being used. We went to through many tools and after the training it is hard to keep the overview.

Some kind of cheat sheet would also be helpful. Or a table which lists e.g. in columns certain problems and the tools in rows mark which problems can be addressed.

I really appreciated the on site training with a friendly and knowledgeable trainer. I also appreciate the available material a lot ... I may port some exercises to a different platform ... just to learn and exercise on the topic.

Further training needs?

2 responses

I would like to take all courses you offer, but my boss may want me to do other things as well ;-) I will keep asking...

I am not sure. It will take me some tome to go through what we did.
Thank you
All the best

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google. [Report Abuse](#) - [Terms of Service](#) - [Privacy Policy](#).

