

# Bootlin training course evaluation


8 responses

[Publish analytics](#)

## Overall rating of the course

 Copy

8 responses



## Comments and suggestions

3 responses

It was very good except that it went too fast for me which is understandable for 7 half days. Therefore only 9/10 points.

One suggestion would be to plan for 8 days and one more if necessary. That way you don't have the feeling of being under pressure.

The Course needs to be more interactive and instead of just of one quiz it can be divided in to 3 or 4 as per different topics


There was a lot of content that was covered very quickly.



### How useful were the lectures?



8 responses



### Comments and suggestions

2 responses


an index might be useful in the lectures document, for easy reference

The lecture gave me an idea of why and how things are the way they are. So all in all, I am very satisfied.

### How useful were the practical demos?



8 responses



### Comments and suggestions

5 responses

top notch, this is were one learn, when we convert all the words and images into code

The practical demos were great, our trainer knew what to do in his sleep. Sometimes it was just too fast, but again that was due to the lack of time.

more practical demos.


The content was really good, but it was quite fast. Therefore it was not always easy to not miss anything.

It might be useful to provide a list of commands that were used during the training along with the solution. Sometimes having the right code isnt the only solution, and you need to run specific commands that are perhaps not listed in the lab.

### How would you rate the overall organization of the course?



8 responses



### Comments and suggestions

3 responses

As already mentioned, too little time for the topics.

But the topics themselves, the registration and all the rest is and was flawless. There were also no problems with the preparation for the course, everything was simply very well documented!

Most labs were performed right after the lecture. It might be helpful to keep some time for participants to try and solve the labs by themselves and after that to perform the live demo.


Overall really good. The only problem was google meet. There were strange effects with the audio connection.



### How would you rate the trainer?



8 responses



### Comments and suggestions

3 responses

Miquel shows a deep knowledge on the presented topics.

The trainer knew what he was doing, he always had a clear and uncomplicated answer to everything and was able to explain things to me/us in an understandable way. My team also praised the trainer!


In short -> Simply great!

The trainer was excellent, he communicated the material in a clear manner, answered all question and made me feel I can ask questions openly. Thank you!

### How did the course meet your learning objectives?



8 responses



## Comments and suggestions

2 responses

I was already working in the kernel, but mainly integrating or adapting drivers if necessary. I got even more out of this course than I expected, which I thought was great. I felt like bootlin really tried to cover everything, even if it was topics that weren't covered but were mentioned.

I now definitely have a deeper understanding of how the kernel is built, how to develop drivers and overall just better understand things as they are.

I would have liked to hear more about debugging.

## What part(s) of the course did you like most?

6 responses

the live demos, it was really helpful to get a hands on the topics presented

In itself how topics were worked on e.g. the input subsystem and then the driver adapted, then the interrupt handling, dma, Linux mutexes....

Basically I liked everything in the whole package, because it all belongs to the development of drivers -> What I also want to learn.

In short, the theory and the practice about the theory were great! This could clarify the therapy and helped to understand it better.

all sub topics of developing kernel modules and labs

DMA

Practical labs in general. The concept is really good. Best thing was to see the nunchuk working in the game

The lab work, especially debugging the kernel driver to figure out what I had got wrong in the dma driver lab.



### What part(s) of the course did you like least?

5 responses

that we couldn't get to mmap and the last/extra topics

There was nothing, I really felt everything was important and interesting.

dma was difficult for me


no one

Memory management and DMA chapters were hard to get into my head most likely because of my microcontroller background and the higher complexity and abstraction level that Linux requires here.

### What reasons prompted you to choose a Bootlin course?

 Copy

8 responses



### Comments

1 response

Thank you for this great training!



### Further training needs?

5 responses

Profiling, performance analysis, how to handle migrations/upgrades, how to provide an sdk for developing on our build distro/board

Nothing at the moment, I'm trying to delve into driver/kernel development.

uboot, uefi, bsp design

Yocto Project and OpenEmbedded system development, Embedded Linux boot time optimization

maybe yocto if we start using it

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google. [Report Abuse](#) - [Terms of Service](#) - [Privacy Policy](#).

## Google Forms

